

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,671	02/06/2001	Masaru Honda	Q62961	2529	
7	590 05/18/2004		EXAM	INER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			HON, SC	HON, SOW FUN	
	N, DC 20037-3213	, .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,		1772		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
			æ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/776,671	HONDA ET AL.	
y ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sow-Fun Hon	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 April 2004. Appearance 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See attachment to advisory action</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · · ———		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: Attachment to advisory action

8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on 10 September 2003 is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

SH

Application/Control Number: 09/776,671

Art Unit: 1772

Advisory Action

Proposed Amendment

1. The proposed after-final amendment (dated 04/30/04) will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. In claim 1, a limitation "and wherein said transflector does not include a reflective polarizer" is deleted and replaced by a new limitation "and wherein the transflector comprises at least one layer selected from the group consisting of a layer …resin film".

Drawings

2. The corrected drawing (dated 09/10/03) has been approved.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments dated 04/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant argues that finality of the Office action (dated 10/30/03) be withdrawn since the amendment to claim 1 (dated 09/10/03) was made to clarify the claim language and to make the claims commensurate in scope with the arguments made in the response (dated 01/02/03).

Applicant is respectfully apprised that the amendment, making the claims commensurate in scope with the arguments in the response (dated 01/02/03), narrowed the scope of the subject matter claimed, thus raising new issues which required further consideration and search.

However, in Applicant's specification, the transflector is described as being multi-layer, whereby the material of the film is not particularly restricted (page 11, lines 15-25), wherein some incident light is transmitted, some is reflected and some is absorbed (column 11, lines 5-10), all

Application/Control Number: 09/776,671

Art Unit: 1772

functions of a partially reflective polarizer. Hence the specification is not limited to exclude the reflective polarizer from the transflector. Thus the amendment of "does not include a reflective

polarizer" raised a new issue. Therefore finality of the Office action (dated 10/30/03) was indeed

necessitated by the amendment (dated 09/30/03).

5. Applicant's arguments (dated 04/30/04) regarding the validity of the prior art rejections

in the Office action (dated 10/30/03) are not addressed since they are directed to the newly

proposed amendment (dated 04/30/04).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose

telephone number is (571)272-1492. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday

from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at (571)272-1498. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sow-Fun Hon

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

PERVISURY PATENT EXAMIII

5/14/04

Page 3